McGUIREWOODS

7 Stark, Anti-Kickback and False Claims Concepts for Senior Leadership

Presented by:

Scott Becker, Publisher, Becker's Hospital Review

Chairman McGuireWoods Healthcare Dept.

December 10, 2013

1. Key Federal Statutes

- A. Stark
- B. Anti Kickback
- C. Internal Revenue Code
- D. False Claims Act

2. Bona Fide Employment

- A. Broad Exceptions and Safe Harbors
- B. Fair Market Value
- C. Not related to referrals

- 3. Fair Market Value
 - A. Can't account for technical volume
 - B. Can account for personal productivity
 - C. Surveys to support
 - D. Special Report for unusual situations i.e., the 36,000 RVUs a year orthopedic surgeon, the 28,000 RVUs a year cardiologist

- 4. The Tuomey Case
 - A. Required to perform cases at Tuomey
 - B. Base Salaries tied to net cash collections for outpatient procedures
 - C. Productivity bonuses equal to 80% of collections plus a productivity bonus
 - D. Qui tam-\$11,000 per claim plus triple damages, every bill is a claim
 - E. \$237 million dollar verdict- this is a key reason why systems settle these cases

4. The Tuomey Case

- F. 2 or 3 law firms, 2 or 3 valuation firms
- G. A CEO who is a good person
- H. Takeaways
 - i. When you need 6 professional services firms to support an idea, run fast
 - ii. Some types of employment very different than traditional employment relationships
 - iii. Sheer magnitude of damages often causes settlement
 - iv. Systems should view as a reminder to again do an audit of all physician relationships
 - v. This is another verdict that will likely lead to a hospital change of control

- 5. Rebuttable Presumption IRC 4958
 - A. The holy grail is the rebuttable presumption
 - B. Approved by an authorized body with people that have no conflict
 - C. supported by appropriate data
 - D. properly documented by authorized body

- 6. The Waterloo/Covenant Case
 - A. Alleged unreasonable compensation
 - B. 2 of 5 paid above \$2mm a year
 - C. Hospital settled for \$4.5 million

7. Compliance Measures

- A. A ton of situations evolve out of technical violations.
 Consistent systematic efforts to make sure all in writing and simply meet a Stark exception
- B. Documentation as to FMV, a file to support FMV
- C. Clear documentation and rationale as to why needed. Commercial reasonableness
- D. A record as to core compliance with stark exception and kickback safe harbor
- E. Consider reasonable compensation caps
- F. A proactive compliance based culture

- F. The nightmare that is self reporting
- G. Beware of new interesting creative ideas
 - i. The health alliance of greater Cincinnati pays\$108mm. Cardiology testing unit.
 - ii. The \$22mm St Josephs Medical Center cardiology driven case, PSAs.

Questions or Comments?

Scott Becker, McGuireWoods LLP 312.750.3506 sbecker@mcguirewoods.com

5279929

www.mcguirewoods.com